probing Uqucr advertisements for the last three years and if so, what action has been taken or proposed to be taken in this regard;

(b) whether MRTPC have receiv ed any requests from any of the vo luntary organisations and if so, what are the details thereof and what i tion has been taken thereon; and

(c) whethei Government propose Se prescribe a time limit for MRTPC i i such cases?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUS- !AL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI *U* ARUNACHALAM): (a) to (c) The M. R. T. P. Commission has, on receipt of a complaint from Akhil Bhartiya Grahak Panchayat, Hyderabad, instituted enquiries against four liq'ior manufacturing companies *viz-,* 64/3. Tilak Nagar Distilleries and Industries, Bombay, M/s. Phipson & Co. Limited, Calcutta, M/s. McDowell Co. Ltd., Madras and M/s. Her. bertson Limited, Bombay, for issuing Bai leading advertisements for pro- iion of sale of their products. Tiie Commission is empowered to take further appropriate action in these cases as per the provisions of the M. R. T. I'. Act, 1969 relating to unfair trade practices. Under the s-aid Act, no time-limit has ber prescribed for the Commission fG. om-pletion of such enquiries and here is no such proposal under cons'dera. tion of the Government.

Sales Turn-over of Hepatitis-B Va$_c$cine

3500. SHRI M. KADHARSHA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) the names of the producers of Hepatiti--R-Vaccine, Quinidin$_e$ Sulphate, Ergometrine and Primaquin along with the production of each drug of each producer during the last three years;

Cbj If so, what wa$_u$ the sales turn-, over of each drug during the last two years, yearwise. a$_s$ pe$_r$ ORG; and

(c) the names of five major sell ing brands of each drug as per ORG along with, the stets turn-over of each daring 1986;

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRl J. VENGAL RAO): (a) The production of referred drugs is not monitored by this Ministry.

(h) and (c) The Retail Store Au. d. t Report of ORG provides only all India estimates of sales of Pharmaceutical through the trade channel covering 175 companies and these estimates are based $_0$n purchase records maintained by 650 chemists ?ad out all over India. The sgles estimates given in this report represent tha purchase made by che-mists a'one. off take of pharmaceuti. ca!n by non-chemists are not covered in this report.

Extension of Kelkar Committee

3501. SHRl CHANDRAIKA PRASAD TRIPATHI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer t$_0$ Starred Question 131 *given* in the Rajya Sabha on the, 16th •mber, 1987 and state:

(a) whether the Kelkar Cornmittee is ftill functioning;

(b) if !jo, up to what time will it function;

le) whether it is a fact that there is a proposal to make this Committee permanent;

(d) if so, what are the details thereof;

(e) whether his Ministry has examined the report of the Committee;

(f) if so what are the details of anomalies which came to Govern ment's notice on the basis of w'hich extension was granted; and

(g) what are the details of ano malies already rectified and by when the remaining ones will be rectified?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRl J. VENGAL RAO); (a) and (b) Yes, Sir.

(c) No, Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

(e) to (g) The Committee has not yet submitted its report On representations that were referred to it.

Problems at Dankuni Coal Project

3502. SHRI J. P. GOYAL; Will the Minister of ENERGY be pleased to state;

(a) whether his attention has been invited to a news report which ap peared in the weekly 'Blitz' dated the 12th March 1988 (page 31) with the caption 'Dankuni in Doldrums';

(b) whether the coal gassification plant at Dankuni was supposed to have been commissioned in 1984 and tiie- Heavy Engineering Corporation, Ranchi had agreed to get the pro. 'ject completed in time;

(c) what was the original estimated cost thereof and the extent to wnich it has escalated now;

(d) what were the reasons for which this prestigious plant could not be completed in time and what action has been taken in the matter; and

(e) when the said plant is likely to be completed and commissioned?

THE MINISTER OF STATE; IN THE DEPARTMENT OF COAL IN THE MINISTRY OF ENERGY (SHRl C. K. JAFFAR SHARIEF): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) Dankuni Coal Complex was sanctioned by the Government of India in July, 1980. Letter of Intent was issued in March, 1981 to the Heavy Engineering Corporation, Ranchi for turnkey execution of the project. As per the Letter of Intent the scheduled date of completion was March, 1P85.

(c) The original cost estimate of the Project was Rs. 49. 27 crores (October 77 prices). The revised cost estimate of the project, assessed upto Jane, 1£86, is about Rs. 118. 00 crores.

(d) and (e) The delay in completion of the project is mainly on account of the following reasons: —

(i) Difficulties in land filling at site;

(ii) Selection of import of technology;

(iii, Delay in procurement of iquipment;

(iv) Shortage of man power; and

(v) Delay in procurement of construction materials.

As per the revised schedule, the project is likelj- to be commissioned in September, 1988.

To ensure completion of the project as per revised schedule, the following steps have been taken: —

(i) Clo^e monitoring of the project at various levels;

. *n* Heavy Engineering Corporation has strengthened its supervision to scrutinise the work at site;

(Mi) multiple shifts have been introduced: and

(iv) manpower has been augmented.